

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/551,086	09/27/2005	Scott Allan Kendall	PU030096	4375
24498 Joseph J. Laks	7590 03/19/200	8	EXAMINER	
Thomson Licensing LLC			ANDRAMUNO, FRANKLIN S	
2 Independence Way, Patent Operations PO Box 5312			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PRINCETON.	NJ 08543		2623	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/19/2008	PAPER

### Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) KENDALL ET AL. 10/551,086 Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit FRANKLIN S. ANDRAMUNO 2623 - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Renty

renou for Reply
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.33(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after 50 (b) (b) MCTFS from the making date of this communication.  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will by statistic, cause the application to become ARMONDED (30 USC, 6, 133).  Any reply received by the Officio later than three months after the making date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patter term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.74(b).
Status
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09/27/05</u> .  2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims
4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Application Papers
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on <u>09/27/05</u> is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.	
3) Anformation Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/SE/CS) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 09/27/05, 05/08/06	5). Notice of Informal Patent Application.  6) Other:	
S. Patent and Trademark Office		

Application/Control Number: 10/551,086

Art Unit: 2623

#### DETAILED ACTION

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior at are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-3, 8-10, and 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Manson et al (US 6,543,051 B1) in view of Naidoo et al (US 7,185,282 B1). Hereinafter referred a Manson and Naidoo.

Regarding claims 1, 8 and 15, Manson discloses an apparatus, television signal receiver and the method for controlling an apparatus having an emergency alert function (Receive Emergency Alert Message (402) in figure 4), comprising steps of: detecting a second condition wherein a broadcast test associated with said emergency alert function is passed (figure 4). However, Mason fails to teach the use of detecting a first condition wherein signal strength on a selected channel associated with said emergency alert function exceeds a threshold. This is shown by Naidoo (column 8 lines 10-13), said broadcast test including detecting reception of a test signal that is broadcast on a scheduled periodic basis; and providing an output if said first and second conditions are detected (column 15 lines 2-7).

Application/Control Number: 10/551,086 Art Unit: 2623

Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time of the invention to include the use of a condition detection in an emergency alert function. This is a useful combination because the system will detect emergencies automatically.

Regarding claims 2, 9 and 16, Manson discloses an apparatus, television signal receiver and the method of claim 1, wherein said broadcast test includes determining whether said test signal includes a user selected location code (column 5 lines 27-29) associated with said emergency alert function (Figure 4).

Regarding claims 3, 10 and 17, Manson discloses an apparatus, television signal receiver and the method of claim 1, wherein said test signal is broadcast on a weekly basis (Column 7 line 37).

 Claims 4-7, 11-14, and 18-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Manson et al (US 6,543,051 B1) in view of Naidoo et al (US 7,185,282 B1) in view of Ganzer et al (US 5,121,430). Hereinafter referred a Manson, Naidoo, and Ganzer.

Regarding claims 4, 11 and 18, Manson discloses an apparatus, television signal receiver and the method of claim 1, further comprised of: identifying one of said channels having higher signal strength relative to said other channels as said selected channel (figure 2). However, Manson fails to teach the tuning a plurality of channels associated with said emergency alert function. Ganzer discloses on (column 2 lines 35-40) a tuner circuitry tuned to the channel number of the station providing service.

Application/Control Number: 10/551,086

Art Unit: 2623

Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time of the invention to include the use of a tuner. This is a useful combination because the emergency unit is delivered along the signal with the highest strength.

Regarding claims 5, 12 and 19, Manson discloses an apparatus, television signal receiver and the method of claim 4, further comprised of using said selected channel to receive emergency alert signals capable of activating said emergency alert function (Create Digital Emergency Alert Message (412) in figure 4).

Regarding claims 6, 13 and 20, Naidoo discloses an apparatus, television signal receiver and the method of claim 1, further comprised of: providing a first output message if said first condition is not detected (column 14 lines 12-18); and providing a second output message if said second condition is not detected (column 15 lines 2-7).

Regarding claims 7, 14 and 21, Manson discloses an apparatus, television signal receiver and the method of claim 6, wherein said first and second output messages each indicates a corrective action (Figure 4).

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to FRANKLIN S. ANDRAMUNO whose telephone number is (571)270-3004. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs (7:30am - 5:00pm) alternate Fri off (EST.

Application/Control Number: 10/551,086

Art Unit: 2623

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Kelley can be reached on (571)272-7331. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Chris Kelley/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2623